

Stability of the Kink State in a Stack of Intrinsic Josephson Junctions

Shizeng Lin^{a,b}, and Xiao Hu^{a,b,c}

^aWPI Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan

^bGraduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8571, Japan

^cJapan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

Abstract

A new dynamic state characterized by $(2m_l + 1)\pi$ static phase kink with integers $\{m_l\}$ is proposed recently in a stack of inductively coupled Josephson junctions. In the present paper, the stability of the phase kink state is investigated against many perturbations and it is shown that the kink state is stable. It is also discussed that the suppression of the amplitude of superconducting order parameter caused by the kink is weak.

Key words: intrinsic Josephson junctions, kink state, stability, terahertz radiation

PACS: 74.50.+r, 74.25.Gz, 85.25.Cp

1. Introduction

The experimental breakthrough[1, 2] on the terahertz radiation from a mesa of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ (BSCCO) single crystal has triggered tremendous effort to reveal the mechanics of emission. Soon after the experiments, it is proposed that a new dynamic state in a stack of inductively coupled Josephson junctions is probably responsible for the experimental observations[3, 4]. The new dynamic state is characterized by $(2m_l + 1)\pi$ phase kinks that are stacked along the c direction and are localized at the nodes of electric field, where $\{m_l\}$ are integers. To date, this kink state is the only solution that can successfully explain the key observations in the experiments[1, 2]: first, the radiation frequency and voltage obey the ac Josephson relation; secondly, strong and coherent emission appears at the cavity resonances. In the present paper, we investigate the stability of the kink state.

2. Results and discussion

The dynamics of the gauge invariant phase difference in BSCCO is properly described by the inductively coupled sine-Gordon equations[5, 6]

$$\partial_x^2 P_l = (1 - \zeta \Delta^{(2)})[\sin P_l + \partial_t^2 P_l + \beta \partial_t P_l - J_{\text{ext}}], \quad (1)$$

where P_l is the gauge invariant phase difference in the l th junction, J_{ext} the external current, β the normalized conductance and $\zeta \sim 10^5$ the inductive coupling. Dimensionless units are adopted and their definitions are available in Ref. [7]. Due to the presence of significant impedance mismatch as the case in the experiments[1, 2], the boundary condition may be approximated as $\partial_x P_l = 0$ in the absence of an external magnetic field.

The kink state as one solution to Eq. (1) can be written as[3, 7, 8]

$$P_l(x, t) = \omega t + P_l^s(x) + \text{Re}[-iA \cos(k_1 x) \exp(i\omega t)], \quad (2)$$

where the first is the rotating phase with voltage ω , the second term the static phase kink and the last term the plasma oscillation with $k_1 \equiv \pi/L \gg 1$ with L being the length of junction. Here we have considered the voltage near the first cavity mode. Because of the huge inductive coupling, P_l^s runs sharply from 0 to $(2m_l + 1)\pi$ in the narrowed region of width $1/\sqrt{\zeta|A|}$. Approximating P_l^s as a step function, we obtain $A = 4/\pi/(\omega^2 - k_1^2 - i\beta\omega)$. In the following, we consider the region where $A < 1$.

To check the stability of the kink state Eq. (2), we add a small perturbation to the solution, $P_l' = P_l + \theta_l$ with $\theta_l \ll 1$. The kink state is stable if the perturbation dies out and is unstable if it increases when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Substituting P_l' into Eq. (1), we obtain the equation governing the evolution of the perturbation

$$\partial_x^2 \theta_l = (1 - \zeta \Delta^{(2)}) \left\{ \frac{\exp(i(\omega t + P_l^s)) + \exp(-i(\omega t + P_l^s))}{2} - \frac{A}{2} \cos(k_1 x) \exp(-iP_l^s) \right\} \theta_l + \beta \partial_t \theta_l + \partial_t^2 \theta_l. \quad (3)$$

Here we investigate the region that $\omega \gg 1$, $A \ll 1$ and the variation of θ_l is much faster than that of P_l^s along the c direction in the following calculation. The solution of θ_l can be expressed as[9]

$$\theta_l(x, t) = \sum_q \left[\bar{\theta}_q + \theta_{q+} \exp(i\omega t) + \theta_{q-} \exp(-i\omega t) \right] \exp(iql) \exp(-i\Omega t). \quad (4)$$

Here the complex eigenfrequency Ω is assumed to be small $\Omega \ll 1$. The higher frequency harmonics $\Omega \pm m\omega$ with $m > 1$ in Eq. (4) are small in the region of $\omega \gg 1$ and are neglected. Periodic boundary condition is imposed along the c direction, and hence $q = 2m\pi/N$ with m being an integer and N the total number of junctions. The kink state is stable if and only if $\text{Im}\Omega < 0$ for all q .

With the condition that P_l^s has slow variation along the c axis, we have

$$(1 - \zeta \Delta^{(2)})[\exp(iP_l^s)\theta_l] \approx \exp(iP_l^s) \sum_q a_q \theta_q \exp(iql), \quad (5)$$

where $a_q \equiv 1 + 2\zeta(1 - \cos q)$. Furthermore, because P_l^s is almost a step function, $\exp(iP_l^s) \approx \cos P^s \equiv 1 - 2\Theta(x - L/2)$ where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3) and using Eq. (5), we have for the frequency components $\omega \pm \Omega$ and Ω

$$\partial_x^2 \bar{\theta}_q = a_q \left[\frac{\cos P^s}{2} (\theta_{q+} + \theta_{q-}) - \frac{\cos P^s}{2} A \cos(k_1 x) \bar{\theta}_q - \widetilde{\Omega^2} \bar{\theta}_q \right] \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_x^2 \theta_{q\pm} = a_q \left[\frac{\cos P^s}{2} \bar{\theta}_q - \widetilde{\omega_{\pm}^2} \theta_{q\pm} \right], \quad (7)$$

where a small term proportional to A in the coefficient of $\theta_{q\pm}$ in Eq. (7) is neglected and

$$\widetilde{\Omega^2} \equiv \Omega^2 + \beta i \Omega; \quad \widetilde{\omega_{\pm}^2} \equiv (\pm \omega - \Omega)^2 - \beta i (\pm \omega - \Omega). \quad (8)$$

By inspecting Eq. (6), the length scale over which $\bar{\theta}_q$ varies is of order of $1/(\Omega \sqrt{a_q}) \gg 1$. To solve Eq. (7), we resort to multimodes expansion

$$\cos P^s = \sum_{n=0} a_n \cos(k_n x), \quad \theta_{q\pm} = \sum_{n=0} b_{n\pm} \cos(k_n x), \quad (9)$$

with $a_n = \frac{4}{n\pi} \sin(\frac{n\pi}{2})$. Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (7) and neglecting the coordinate dependence of $\bar{\theta}_q$, we obtain

$$b_{n\pm} = \frac{a_n \bar{\theta}_q a_q / 2}{a_q \omega_{\pm}^2 - k_n^2}. \quad (10)$$

Inserting Eq.(9) into Eq. (6), we obtain the equation for $\bar{\theta}_q$

$$\partial_x^2 \bar{\theta}_q + a_q \widetilde{\Omega^2} \bar{\theta}_q = a_q \left[\frac{\cos P^s}{2} \sum_n (b_{n+} + b_{n-}) \cos(k_n x) - \frac{\cos P^s}{2} A \cos(k_1 x) \bar{\theta}_q \right]. \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) and the boundary condition $\partial_x \bar{\theta}_q = 0$ determine the spectrum of small perturbations to the kink state. Integrating Eq.(11) from 0 to L and approximating $\bar{\theta}_q$ in the integrand as a constant when it is multiplied by other spatial dependent functions, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$\Omega^2 + \beta i \Omega = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0} a_n^2 \frac{\omega^2 - (k'_n)^2}{[\omega^2 - (k'_n)^2]^2 + \beta^2 \omega^2} - \frac{A a_1}{4}, \quad (12)$$

with $k'_n \equiv k_n / \sqrt{a_q} \ll 1$. It is straightforward to check that $\text{Im} \Omega < 0$ when $\omega < k_1$, but solution with $\text{Im} \Omega > 0$ appears when $\omega > k_1$. Thus we conclude that *the kink state is stable in the region of $\omega < k_1$.*

To study the full spectrum of stability, we resort to numerical simulation. It is found that the state with kink is stable against different types of distortions, such as small perturbations both in the lateral direction and stack direction, thermal fluctuations, weak external magnetic field[7] and modulation of critical current[4]. The radiation which is neglected in the analytical treatment is found to reduce the stability of kink state to a certain degree[3].

Finally, we discuss the impact of the sharp phase change at the kink on the amplitude of superconducting order

parameter[10]. It is recognized that Eq. (1) assumes the amplitude of superconductivity to be a constant over space and time. To study fully the suppression of the superconductivity by phase kink, one needs to start from equations self-consistently describing the evolution of phase as well as amplitude of superconductivity. However, we assume the amplitude of superconductivity is fixed, which corresponds to infinite condensation energy limit, and then consider the effect of phase kink.

The non-uniform alignment of kink along the c axis induces in-plane magnetic field and supercurrent. The magnetic field is $\sqrt{|A|/\zeta} \ll 1$ and the in-plane supercurrent is of order of $100 J_c$ with J_c the critical current along the c axis. Compared with the critical magnetic field and critical current density, Both of them are too small to suppress the superconductivity sensibly. From the energetic point of view, the energy costs caused by phase kink is of the order of Josephson coupling, which is much smaller than the condensation energy in BSCCO. Thus, it cannot suppress appreciably the amplitude of superconducting order parameter which is proportional to the condensation energy. All these facts indicate the assumption of constant superconductivity amplitude is self-consistent and the effect of phase kink on the superconductivity can be safely neglected. This estimate is consistent with the established treatment on soliton phenomena in Josephson junctions[11]; although the suppression of superconductivity amplitude has never been included in junction physics, calculated IV curves for soliton states agree well with experimental results. If one takes into account the suppression of superconductivity amplitude due to the phase kink, the total energy is reduced from the one estimated by fixing the amplitude, which corresponds to the infinite limit of superconductivity condensation.

In short, the kink state is stable and has negligibly small effect on the superconductivity.

3. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by WPI Initiative on Materials Nanoarchitectonics, MEXT, Japan, CREST-JST, Japan and partially by ITSNE of CAS.

References

- [1] L. Ozyuzer, A. E. Koshelev, C. Kurter, N. Gopalsami, Q. Li, M. Tachiki, K. Kadowaki, T. Yamamoto, H. Minami, H. Yamaguchi, T. Tachiki, K. E. Gray, W. K. Kwok, U. Welp, Science 318 (2007) 1291.
- [2] K. Kadowaki, H. Yamaguchi, K. Kawamata, T. Yamamoto, H. Minami, I. Takeya, U. Welp, L. Ozyuzer, A. Koshelev, C. Kurter, K. Gray, W.-K. Kwok, Physica C 468 (2008) 634.
- [3] S. Z. Lin, X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 247006.
- [4] A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 174509.
- [5] S. Sakai, P. Bodin, N. F. Pedersen, J. Appl. Phys. 73 (1993) 2411.
- [6] L. N. Bulaevskii, M. Zamora, D. Baeriswyl, H. Beck, J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 12831.
- [7] S. Z. Lin, X. Hu, Phys. Rev. B 79 (2009) 104507.
- [8] X. Hu, S. Z. Lin, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 134510.
- [9] L. N. Bulaevskii, A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 057002.
- [10] M. Tachiki, S. Fukuya, T. Koyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 127002.
- [11] T. A. Fulton and R. C. Dynes, Solid State Commun. 12 (1973) 57.